

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandría, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/708,290	02/23/2004	Keld Georg Christensen	04-03	2289
32583 7590 07/23/2007 KELLOGG BROWN & ROOT LLC		EXAMINER		
ATTN: IP LEGAL DEPARTMENT			RODRIGUEZ, WILLIAM H	
4100 Clinton D HOUSTON, T			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
110001011, 121 77020			3746	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/23/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/708,290	CHRISTENSEN, KELD GEORG				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		William H. Rodríguez/	3746				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 June 2007</u> .						
, —	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)∐	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4) 🖾)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-13</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	Claim(s) <u>2-13</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers	•	•				
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachmen	it(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Infon	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to the amendment and remarks filed 06/18/2007. Since the examiner has applied new grounds of rejection, this office action is being made non-final to afford the applicant the opportunity to respond to the new grounds of rejection.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 2-13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 2-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hervish et al. (US 5,911,875).

Hervish (figures 1-3) teaches a residual oil supercritical extraction process (ROSE process) integrated with a combined cycle power generation comprising: a ROSE unit 200, and a power and steam generation system 1, said power and steam generation system including a low pressure economizer 16, a low pressure evaporator 18, a low pressure superheater 28, an intermediate pressure economizer 22, an intermediate pressure superheater 26, an intermediate pressure reheater 36, a high pressure economizer 30, a high pressure evaporator 32 and a high pressure superheater, said power and steam generation system supplying the high level heat requirements of the ROSE unit (through lines 58, 62, cl. 2lines 20 to cl. 3 line 25) for processing

a residual oil 202, said ROSE unit processing the residual oil with a solvent 230 to recover an asphaltene stream 44 and a deasphalted oil 43, wherein said high level heat requirements are at temperatures of at least 155 Celcius degrees and pressures of at least 0.7 Mpa (cl. 7 ll. 44-49; cl. 7 ll. 67 to cl. 8 ll. 9; cl. 6 ll 56-59; cl. 7 ll. 8-11; cl. 7 ll. 27-29).

Notice that Hervish teaches high-level heat requirements (58, 62) at temperatures and pressures of 290 Celsius degrees; 2,760 kPa and 538 Celsius degrees; 11,700 kPa respectively (cl. 7 ll. 44-49; cl. 7 ll. 67 to cl. 8 ll. 9). These high-level heat requirements read on the limitations at least 155 Celsius degrees and 0.7 MPa.

Contact information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to /William H. Rodríguez/ whose telephone number is 571-272-4831. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Stashick can be reached on 571-272-4561. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/708,290

Art Unit: 3746

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/William H. Rodríguez/

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3746

7/19/07